

AF/270  
**NORTEL  
NETWORKS**



www.nortelnetworks.com

**J. Erik Fako**  
Senior Counsel

4006 E. Highway 54  
Network Center 2, MS D16/02/0E2  
Durham, North Carolina 27713  
Tel 919.997.4453  
Fax 919.997.6659  
efako@nortelnetworks.com

January 7, 2002

Box AF  
Assistant Commissioner  
for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231-9999

Re: U. S. Patent Application No. 09/102,016  
Filed: June 22, 1998  
Docket No. RN1105  
Entitled: TREATMENTS IN A DISTRIBUTED COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM  
Inventor: Samuel H. CHRISTIE, IV

Dear Sir:

Enclosed is a RESPONSE under 37 C.F.R. 1.116 in reply to the Final Office Action dated November 5, 2001 in the subject application. If for any reason this RESPONSE is found to be INCOMPLETE, or if at any time it appears that a TELEPHONE CONFERENCE with counsel would help advance prosecution, please telephone the undersigned collect at (919) 997-4453.

If any payment during prosecution is found to be insufficient, please charge any such deficiency to Deposit Account No. 50-0873.

Kindly acknowledge receipt of the foregoing by returning the enclosed self-addressed postcard.

Sincerely,

J. Erik Fako  
Reg. No. 42,522


JEF:rs

Enclosures: >RESPONSE under 37 C.F.R. 1.116  
>Certificate of First Class Mailing  
>Return Receipt Postcard

**RECEIVED**

**JAN 30 2002**

**Technology Center 2600**



#14  
1/31/02

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re:	Samuel H. Christie, IV	)	Docket No.:	RN1105
		)		
Application No.:	09/102,016	)	Group No.:	2663
		)		
Filed:	June 22, 1998	)	Examiner:	A. Boakye
		)		
For:	TREATMENTS IN A DISTRIBUTED	)		
	COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM	)		

Box AF  
Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

RECEIVED  
JAN 30 2002  
Technology Center 2600

RESPONSE UNDER 37.CFR§ 1.116 EXPEDITED PROCEDURE

Sir:

This Response is in reply to the Final Office Action dated November 5, 2001.  
Applicant respectfully requests reexamination and reconsideration in view of the following remarks.

REMARKS

The Applicant appreciates the Examiner's thorough examination of the subject application and requests reconsideration in view of the following remarks.

Claims 61-120 stand rejected under 35 USC § 103 as being obvious over